



**CALIFORNIA STATE  
PUBLIC WORKS BOARD**

EDMUND G. BROWN JR. • GOVERNOR

915 L STREET ■ NINTH FLOOR ■ SACRAMENTO CA ■ 95814-3706 ■ (916) 445-9694

**STATE PUBLIC WORKS BOARD**

July 17, 2017

**PROPOSED MINUTES**

**PRESENT:**

Ms. Eraina Ortega, Chief Deputy Director, Department of Finance  
Mr. Jeff McGuire, Chief Deputy Director, Department of General Services  
Ms. Ellen Greenberg, Deputy Director, Department of Transportation  
Mr. Tom Yowell, State Controller's Office  
Mr. Blake Fowler, State Treasurer's Office  
Mr. Jim Frazier, California Assemblymember

**CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL:**

Ms. Eraina Ortega, Chairperson of the Board called the meeting to order at 10:00 a.m.  
Ms. Patrice Coleman, Executive Assistant for the Board, called the roll. A quorum was established.

Ms. Ortega acknowledged that Assemblymember Jim Frazier was present at the meeting.

**BOND ITEMS:**

The first order of business was to consider one Bond Item.

Ms. Lukenbill, Deputy Director of the Board, indicated to the Board, that if approved, Bond Item 1 would adopt a resolution authorizing actions to be taken to provide for interim financing, authorize the sale of lease revenue bonds, and other related actions for design and construction of a Jail Project in San Benito County. Ms. Lukenbill reported that overall project costs were estimated at \$20,949,000, and the amount of the interim financing request was \$15,053,000.

Staff recommended adoption of the resolution.

Ms. Ortega asked if there were any questions or comments. There were none.

**A motion was made by Mr. Fowler and seconded by Mr. Yowell to approve and adopt the resolution for the bond item. The motion was passed unanimously through a roll call vote 5-0 (Ms. Ortega Mr. McGuire, Ms. Greenberg, Mr. Yowell, and Mr. Fowler all voting aye).**

**MINUTES:**

The next order of business was to consider approving the minutes from the June 12<sup>th</sup> Board meeting. Ms. Lukenbill reported that staff had prepared and reviewed the minutes from the June 12<sup>th</sup> Board meeting and recommended approval of those meeting minutes.

Ms. Ortega asked if there were any questions or comments regarding the minutes. There were none.

**A motion was made by Mr. McGuire and seconded by Ms. Greenberg to approve the minutes. The minutes were approved by a 3-0 vote (Mr. McGuire, Ms. Greenberg, and Ms. Ortega all voting aye).**

**CONSENT ITEMS:**

The next order of business was the consent calendar. Ms. Lukenbill informed the Board that the Consent Calendar consisted of three items: Items 1, 3, and 4. Item 2 had been pulled from the agenda and would not be part of the Board's vote.

- Consent Item 1: Consider accepting real property through a transfer of title for the Judicial Council of California, for the A.F. Bray Courthouse in Contra Costa County.
- Consent Item 2: Item Pulled
- Consent Item 3: Consider recognizing a scope change for the Department of General Services' new O Street Office Building project in Sacramento County.
- Consent Item 4: Consider authorizing site selection of two properties in downtown Sacramento for the development of a parking structure on R Street.

Ms. Lukenbill reported that Consent Items 3 required a 20-day notice to the Joint Legislative Budget Committee, and the review period for these actions had expired without comment from the JLBC.

Staff recommended approval of the Consent Calendar consisting of Items 1, 3, and 4.

Ms. Ortega asked if there were any questions or comments. There were none.

**A motion was made by Mr. McGuire and seconded by Ms. Greenberg to approve the consent calendar. The consent items were approved by a 3-0 vote (Mr. McGuire, Ms. Greenberg, and Ms. Ortega all voting aye).**

**ACTION ITEMS:**

The next order of business was to hear the Action Items.

- Action Item 1: Ms. Lukenbill informed the Board that if approved, this item would authorize acquisition of an approximately 5.3 acre parcel in the City of Loma Linda, San Bernardino County, for the construction of a replacement area office facility for the California Highway Patrol.

Staff recommended the Board approve acquisition of the parcel in San Bernardino County for a CHP replacement facility.

Ms. Ortega asked if there were any questions or comments. There were none.

**A motion was made by Ms. Greenberg and seconded by Mr. McGuire to approve the Action Item. The Action Item was approved by a 3-0 vote (Ms. Greenberg, Mr. McGuire, and Ms. Ortega all voting aye).**

- Action Item 2: Ms. Lukenbill indicated that if approved, the requested action would authorize site selection of four parcels in Fresno, Kings and Kern Counties for the High Speed Train System project.

Staff recommended the Board authorize site selection of the four parcels.

Ms. Ortega asked if there were any questions or comments. There were none.

**A motion was made by Mr. McGuire and seconded by Ms. Greenberg to approve the Action Item. The Action Item was approved by a 3-0 vote (Mr. McGuire, Ms. Greenberg, and Ms. Ortega all voting aye).**

- Action Item 3: Ms. Lukenbill indicated that If approved, the requested action would rescind one Resolution of Necessity for the Martella Property in Kings County.

Staff recommended the Board Approve the rescission of the Resolution of Necessity for the Martella property.

Ms. Ortega asked if there were any questions or comments. There were none.

**A motion was made by Ms. Greenberg and seconded by Mr. McGuire to approve the Action Item. The Action Item was approved by a 3-0 vote (Ms. Greenberg, Mr. McGuire and Ms. Ortega all voting aye).**

- Action Item 4: Ms. Lukenbill indicated that if approved, the action would adopt 2 Resolutions of Necessity authorizing the use of eminent domain to acquire properties in Tulare and Fresno Counties. Ms. Lukenbill noted that property #1, the Sethi property, had been pulled from the agenda and would not be part of the Board's vote.

Harjinder Chima, acting as Counsel to the Board on eminent domain issues, presented the Resolutions of Necessity. Ms. Chima reported that the proposed Resolutions of Necessity before the Board had been reviewed, to ensure that they complied with the conditions set forth in Code of Civil Procedure Section 1245.230. Specifically, each Resolution provided:

1. The public interest and necessity require the Project;
2. That the proposed Project is planned and located in a manner that will provide the greatest public good with the least private injury;
3. The acquisition property described in Exhibit A to each of the Resolutions is necessary for the project; and
4. The offers of just compensation required by Government Code section 7267.2 have been made to all owners of record as required by the statute.

Staff recommended adoption of Resolutions of Necessity for properties 2 and 3, authorizing the use of eminent domain.

Ms. Ortega asked if there were any questions or comments. Ms. Greenberg requested an explanation of Exhibit A-2 (for the Alpaugh Irrigation District property), and why excess property is included in the resolution. Ms. Chima explained that when a property is marked as excess, it has little market value left to the owner, and the state acquires the excess as a convenience to the owner, unless the owner requests to keep the excess parcel. Ms. Greenberg asked if such a request had been received from the owner. Mr. Don Grebe from the High Speed Rail Authority Gino stated that his understanding was that the parcel was not economic to the market and that it depends on the size and shape of the property. Based on Mr. Grebe's comments, Ms. Chima confirmed that a request to keep the property had not been received.

Ms. Ortega asked if there were any property representatives in attendance. There were none. She asked if there was any additional comments or questions on the items. There were none.

**A motion was made by Mr. McGuire and seconded by Ms. Greenberg to approve the Action Item. The Action Item was approved by a 3-0 vote (Mr. McGuire, Ms. Greenberg, and Ms. Ortega all voting aye).**

Ms. Lukenbill then presented the reportable items, and told the Board that she would be happy to answer any questions. The Board had none.

**NEXT MEETING:**

Ms. Ortega stated the next Board meeting is scheduled for Monday, August 14, 2017 at 10:00 a.m. in Room 112 at the State Capitol.

Ms. Ortega asked if there were any comments or questions from the Board or the public. There were none, the meeting was adjourned at 10:13 a.m.